




U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

C1

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: EAC-98-037-50910 Office: Vermont Service Center

Date: AUG 3 2000


IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4)

Public Copy

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Terrance M. O'Reilly, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and a subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Associate Commissioner for Examinations. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner on a motion to reconsider. The motion will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks classification of the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4), to serve as a Bible instructor. The director denied the petition determining that the petitioner had failed to establish the beneficiary's two years of continuous religious work experience or two-year membership in its denomination. The director also found that the petitioner had failed to establish that it had made a valid job offer to the beneficiary.

On appeal, the Associate Commissioner found that the petitioner had failed to establish the beneficiary's two years of continuous religious work experience or that it had made a valid job offer. Beyond the decision of the director, the Associate Commissioner also found that the petitioner had failed to establish that the prospective occupation is a religious occupation or that the petitioner had the ability to pay the proffered wage.

On motion, counsel argued that the Associate Commissioner's interpretation of the two-year work experience requirement was erroneous. Counsel also stated that the petitioner had made a valid job offer. Counsel did not discuss the additional deficiencies cited by the Associate Commissioner.

8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(3) requires that a motion for reconsideration state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. A motion to reconsider must also establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision.

8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(4) states that a motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed.

The motion to reconsider does not contain precedent decisions to show that the previous decisions were based on an incorrect application of law or Service policy. Further, the motion does not establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. That burden has not been met, as the petitioner has not provided any new facts or additional evidence to overcome the previous decision of the Associate Commissioner. Accordingly, the previous decisions of the

director and the Associate Commissioner will not be disturbed, and the motion will be dismissed.

ORDER: The motion is dismissed.